

United States Postal Service®  
**Quarterly Performance for Package Services**

Quarter II  
FY2025

**Overview**

Package Services includes Media Mail®/Library Mail, Bound Printed Matter Flats, and Bound Printed Matter Parcels. Package Services includes both single-piece and presort volumes, with approximately 85 percent of the total represented by presort.

Service performance for Media Mail®/Library Mail and Bound Printed Matter Parcels is measured using an internal USPS® system, the Product Tracking and Reporting System (PTR). This system measures transit time from the time of mailing until the time of delivery to the intended recipient, on parcels for which a customer requested USPS Tracking® service. The first en route scan serves as the proxy for the time of mailing for commercial and PC Postage parcels that were not mailed over the counter. Transit time is compared to USPS® service standards to develop the measure of on-time service performance. The system measures service to and from virtually all 3-Digit ZIP Code™ areas for which Package Services volume originates or destines.

Beginning FY2019 Q1, service performance for Bound Printed Matter Flats is measured through the USPS® internal measurement system. The system uses documented arrival time at a designated postal facility to start the clock, and an Intelligent Mail® barcode (IMB®) scan by postal personnel at delivery for randomly selected delivery points to stop the clock. Mail piece tracking from IMB® in-process scans is used in conjunction with the sampling data to extrapolate results for the entire volume of measurement eligible Full Service Intelligent Mail. The transit time from the start-the-clock through final automated processing is the Processing Duration leg, and the transit time from final automated processing until delivery is the Last Mile. Total transit time was calculated for the mail and compared with the appropriate service standard for the product to determine the service performance.

Bound Printed Matter Flat Scores prior to FY2019 Q1 were calculated and compiled by an independent external contractor. The system used for this reporting was called the Intelligent Mail® Accuracy and Performance System (iMAPS). The external contractor determined service performance based on the elapsed time between the start-the-clock event recorded by U.S. Postal Service® and the stop-the-clock event recorded by anonymous households and small businesses that report delivery information directly to the contractor. The service measure consisted of two parts: (1) how long mail pieces take to get through processing, and (2) how long mail takes from the last processing scan to delivery. The second portion was used as a delivery factor differential to determine the percent of all Periodicals delivered on the last processing date versus the percent delivered after the last processing date. Service performance was measured by comparing the transit time to USPS® service standards to determine the percent of mail delivered on time.

**Limitations**

In FY2025 Quarter 2, the service performance results for Package Services through PTR included the data available for retail parcels mailed end-to-end from over the counter and with USPS Tracking® and End-To-End commercial and PC Postage parcels with USPS Tracking®. The first en route scan was used as the start-the-clock for the performance measurement of End-To-End parcels that were not mailed over the counter, with no adjustments for any transit time between acceptance and the first en route scan. Results for Destination Entry Bound Printed Matter parcels were also included in the measurement. While Destination Delivery Unit (DDU) Entry represented approximately 57 percent of Destination Entry Bound Printed Matter Parcels in the population, 35 percent of measured mail was DDU Entry. The results may not be representative of all parcels because of the heavy volume of DDU Entry parcels in measurement compared with the overall.

Due to the limitations of the current systems, the overall Package Services results are presented without any weighting. That is, no attempt was made to use the measured pieces to represent the entire Package Services population. These results represent the service performance for all measured Package Services pieces during the quarter.

**Performance Highlights**

National Package Services performance was 92.0 percent, which is 2.3 points lower than the same period last year. In FY2025 Quarter 2, 98.2 percent were delivered within the service standard plus three days, which is 0.2 points higher than the same period last year.

In FY2025 Quarter 2, no districts had scores at or above the target of 96.50. The Az-Nm and Id-Mt-Or districts led in performance with 96.0 percent on time. The Westpac Area achieved the highest performance of the four areas, with an on-time score of 94.2 percent.

United States Postal Service®  
**Quarterly Performance for Package Services**  
**Mailpieces Delivered Between 01/01/2025 and 03/31/2025**

Quarter II  
FY2025

District	Percent On Time
<b>Atlantic Area</b>	<b>93.4</b>
Connecticut	91.3
De-Pa2	94.7
Ma-Ri	93.4
Maryland	92.4
Me-Nh-Vt	95.1
New Jersey	93.0
New York 1	85.9
New York 2	90.4
New York 3	95.1
North Carolina	94.4
Pennsylvania 1	95.6
Virginia	94.6
<b>Central Area</b>	<b>90.0</b>
Ia-Ne-Sd	93.2
Illinois 1	89.4
Illinois 2	87.4
Indiana	87.7
Ks-Mo	82.9
Ky-Wv	89.5
Michigan 1	91.8
Michigan 2	94.2
Mn-Nd	95.7
Ohio 1	91.1
Ohio 2	88.3
Wisconsin	92.5
<b>Southern Area</b>	<b>90.3</b>
Al-Ms	90.9
Ar-Ok	90.1
Florida 1	87.5
Florida 2	93.1
Florida 3	85.4
Georgia	90.9
Louisiana	84.5
Puerto Rico	87.1
South Carolina	92.9
Tennessee	91.5
Texas 1	88.7
Texas 2	92.6
Texas 3	92.3

United States Postal Service®

**Quarterly Performance for Package Services**

**Mailpieces Delivered Between 01/01/2025 and 03/31/2025**

Quarter II  
FY2025

District	Percent On Time
<b>Westpac Area</b>	<b>94.2</b>
Alaska	89.5
Az-Nm	96.0
California 1	92.2
California 2	92.4
California 3	94.9
California 4	92.9
California 5	93.7
California 6	95.5
Co-Wy	94.3
Hawaii	86.5
Id-Mt-Or	96.0
Nv-Ut	92.9
Washington	94.6
<b>Nation FY2025 Q2</b>	<b>92.0</b>

<b>Nation FY2024 Q2 (SPLY)</b>	<b>94.3</b>
--------------------------------	-------------

<b>Nation FY2009 Annual</b>	<b>73.4</b>
<b>Nation FY2010 Annual</b>	<b>79.4</b>
<b>Nation FY2011 Annual</b>	<b>76.7</b>
<b>Nation FY2012 Annual</b>	<b>87.2</b>
<b>Nation FY2013 Annual</b>	<b>87.5</b>
<b>Nation FY2014 Annual</b>	<b>86.3</b>
<b>Nation FY2015 Annual</b>	<b>84.0</b>
<b>Nation FY2016 Annual</b>	<b>82.5</b>
<b>Nation FY2017 Annual</b>	<b>89.6</b>
<b>Nation FY2018 Annual</b>	<b>89.2</b>
<b>Nation FY2019 Annual</b>	<b>87.3</b>
<b>Nation FY2020 Annual</b>	<b>85.8</b>
<b>Nation FY2021 Annual</b>	<b>83.7</b>
<b>Nation FY2022 Annual</b>	<b>90.1</b>
<b>Nation FY2023 Annual</b>	<b>95.4</b>
<b>Nation FY2024 Annual</b>	<b>95.7</b>
<b>Nation FY2025 Q1</b>	<b>95.7</b>

<b>FY2025 Annual Target</b>	<b>96.50</b>
-----------------------------	--------------